

Antifungal Susceptibilities among Different Serotypes of *Cryptococcus gattii* and *Cryptococcus neoformans*[▼]

George R. Thompson III,^{1,6*} Nathan P. Wiederhold,^{1,2,5,6} Annette W. Fothergill,³
Ana C. Vallor,^{1,6} Brian L. Wickes,⁴ and Thomas F. Patterson^{1,6}

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases,¹ Pharmacotherapy Education and Research Center,²
Fungus Testing Laboratory, Department of Pathology,³ and Department of Microbiology,⁴ University of
Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas; The University of Texas at
Austin College of Pharmacy, Austin, Texas⁵; and South Texas Veterans Health Care System,
San Antonio, Texas⁶

Received 12 September 2008/Returned for modification 11 October 2008/Accepted 16 October 2008

We measured antifungal activity against 128 cryptococcal isolates (86 of *C. neoformans* and 42 of *C. gattii*) to determine if differences in serotype susceptibility exist. Contrary to previous results, we found no serotype susceptibility differences. Isavuconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole demonstrated excellent potency against each isolate and serotype, including isolates with reduced fluconazole susceptibilities.

Cryptococcosis is an invasive fungal infection most commonly caused by one of two species of encapsulated yeast, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii*. Although previously classified as three *C. neoformans* varieties, proposed taxonomic changes have redefined *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* as distinct species consisting of five serotypes: *C. neoformans* var. *grubii* (serotype A), *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* (serotype D), the hybrid serotype AD, and *C. gattii* (serotypes B and C) (9). Immunocompromised patients are most commonly infected with serotypes A or D, while *C. gattii* has emerged as an important cause of infection for immunocompetent hosts, as illustrated by the recent outbreak on Vancouver Island, BC, Canada (6).

The incidence of cryptococcal meningitis has fallen after the introduction of antiretroviral therapy, although immunosuppressed populations remain at risk. Existing guidelines published by the Infectious Diseases Society of America do not define treatment differences by *Cryptococcus* spp., and for severe or central nervous system infections, a combination of amphotericin B and flucytosine remains the standard of care (14). However, previous reports of infections caused by *C. gattii* illustrate significant differences in the epidemiology, susceptibility patterns, chronicity of infection, and higher frequency of neurosurgical intervention than infections caused by *C. neoformans*; this is likely due to the propensity of *C. gattii* to form cryptococcomas (7, 17). These species' differences have prompted the search for antifungal agents with greater activity against *C. gattii*. Our objective was to measure the in vitro activities of antifungals against various cryptococcal serotypes to determine if differences in susceptibility exist. We also sought to determine the activity of the new extended-spectrum triazole, isavuconazole (formerly BAL4815), against *C. gattii*,

as in vitro evaluation of this agent against a significant number of serotype B and C isolates has not previously been reported.

(This study was presented at the 48th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy/46th Annual Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Washington, DC, 2008.)

A total of 128 *Cryptococcus* isolates were evaluated, including 86 isolates of *C. neoformans* (28 of serotype A, 25 of serotype D, and 33 of the hybrid AD serotype) and 42 isolates of *C. gattii* (30 of serotype B and 12 of serotype C), and were collected from both clinical and environmental sources from the United States, Australia, France, Denmark, Italy, Thailand, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Isolates were subcultured at least twice on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Remel, Inc., Lenexa, KS) prior to in vitro susceptibility testing. The previously available Crypto Check kit (Iatron Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) was discontinued in 2004, so serotype identification of all isolates in this study was performed using a previously validated multiplex PCR method (4) and species were confirmed by growth/lack of growth on canavanine-glycine-bromothymol blue medium (8).

Susceptibility testing was done by broth microdilution in accordance with the CLSI M27-A2 methodology (12). Antifungals were obtained from the manufacturers as follows: isavuconazole (Basilea Pharmaceutica Ltd.), fluconazole (Pfizer), posaconazole (Schering-Plough), voriconazole (Pfizer), flucytosine (ICN Pharmaceuticals), and amphotericin B deoxycholate (Bristol-Myers Squibb). Stock solutions were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (isavuconazole, voriconazole, and amphotericin B), polyethylene glycol (posaconazole), or water (fluconazole and flucytosine) and were further diluted in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) buffered to a pH of 7.0 with morpholinepropanesulfonic acid. Aliquots of each agent (0.1 ml) at 2× concentrations were dispensed into 96-well microdilution trays. Inocula containing 0.5×10^3 to 2.5×10^3 cells/ml were added, and trays were incubated at 35°C. Final antifungal concentrations ranged from 0.015 to 8 µg/ml for isavuconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole, and amphotericin and from 0.25 to 64 µg/ml for fluconazole and flucytosine.

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, UTHSCSA, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, TX 78229. Phone: (210) 567-6680. Fax: (210) 567-3303. E-mail: thompsonsg2@uthscsa.edu.

[▼] Published ahead of print on 27 October 2008.

TABLE 1. MIC range, GM, and MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values for antifungal agents against all *C. neoformans* (*n* = 86) and *C. gattii* (*n* = 42) isolates tested

Isolate and antifungal agent	MIC range	GM	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>				
Amphotericin B	0.03–1	0.186	0.25	0.5
Flucytosine	0.25–16	3.6	4	8
Fluconazole	0.25–64	1.95	2	4
Posaconazole	<0.015–0.5	0.034	0.03	0.06
Voriconazole	<0.015–0.5	0.069	0.06	0.25
Isavuconazole	<0.015–0.5	0.023	<0.015	0.06
<i>Cryptococcus gattii</i>				
Amphotericin B	0.125–1	0.24	0.25	0.25
Flucytosine	0.06–16	1.97	2	8
Fluconazole	0.5–32	2.36	2	8
Posaconazole	<0.015–0.25	0.041	0.03	0.125
Voriconazole	<0.015–0.5	0.098	0.125	0.25
Isavuconazole	<0.015–0.25	0.026	0.03	0.06

The MICs for isavuconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole, fluconazole, and flucytosine were read as a 50% reduction in turbidity compared to growth control at 72 h. For amphotericin B, MICs were determined to have 100% inhibition relative to that of growth controls. *Candida krusei* ATCC 6258 and *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 were used as quality controls. Differences in geometric mean (GM) MICs were assessed by analysis of variance with Tukey's posttest for multiple comparisons.

The antifungal agents tested retained activity against all cryptococcal isolates. Table 1 summarizes the ranges and the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values against the *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* isolates for each antifungal. The MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values for isavuconazole (<0.015 and 0.06 µg/ml for *C. neoformans* and 0.03 and 0.06 µg/ml for *C. gattii*, respectively) were lower or equivalent to the corresponding values of all other antifungals. These results are consistent with those previously reported for *Candida* spp., with isavuconazole demonstrating lower MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values than other agents (16). Similar potency was also observed for posaconazole and voriconazole. Excellent activity against isolates with intermediate susceptibility to fluconazole (MIC, 16 to 64 µg/ml) was also maintained for isavuconazole (0.06 to 0.5 µg/ml), posaconazole (0.125 to 0.5 µg/ml), and voriconazole (0.25 to 0.5 µg/ml).

When separated by serotype (Table 2), similar results were also observed. Isavuconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole were active against each serotype (MIC₉₀ ≤ 0.25 µg/ml) and were more potent than the other agents tested when compared using geometric mean (GM) MICs (*P* < 0.05). Fluconazole and flucytosine were the least potent (MIC₉₀, 4 or 8 µg/ml against each serotype). Reduced flucytosine susceptibility in our study (23% MIC > 4 µg/ml) was higher than that previously reported (11%) (13). The clinical implications of this are unknown, as flucytosine is used in combination with amphotericin B and in vitro data have demonstrated synergy even against resistant isolates (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml) (15).

Few differences were observed among the serotypes for each agent. Interestingly, no significant differences in potency were found for serotype B or C (*C. gattii*) compared to those of the other serotypes. This is consistent with the largest published

TABLE 2. GM, MIC range, and MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values by serotype^a

Serotype	Amphotericin B				Flucytosine				Fluconazole				Posaconazole				Voriconazole				Isavuconazole			
	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	GM	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
A	0.25	0.06–0.5	0.25	0.5	3.123	1–8	4	4	2.32	0.25–32	2	4	0.064	<0.015–0.5	0.06	0.25	0.112	<0.015–0.5	0.06	0.25	0.036	<0.015–0.5	0.03	0.125
D	0.156	0.03–1	0.125	1	3.204	0.5–8	4	8	1.32	0.25–64	1	8	0.021	<0.015–0.125	<0.015	0.06	0.045	<0.015–0.5	0.03	0.25	0.019	<0.015–0.06	<0.015	0.03
AD	0.174	0.06–0.5	0.25	0.25	4.443	0.25–16	4	8	2.269	0.25–8	2	4	0.029	<0.015–0.06	0.03	0.06	0.064	<0.015–0.25	0.06	0.125	0.018	<0.015–0.06	<0.015	0.03
B	0.239	0.125–1	0.25	0.25	1.66	0.06–16	2	4	2.406	0.5–32	2	8	0.042	<0.015–0.25	0.03	0.125	0.098	<0.015–0.5	0.125	0.25	0.029	<0.015–0.25	0.03	0.06
C	0.25	0.125–0.5	0.25	0.5	2.997	1–8	2	4	2.245	0.5–4	2	4	0.038	<0.015–0.25	0.03	0.06	0.098	0.03–0.25	0.125	0.25	0.021	<0.015–0.125	<0.015	0.03

^a Shown are 28 isolates of serotype A, 25 isolates of serotype D, 33 isolates of the hybrid AD serotype (86 of *C. neoformans*), 30 isolates of serotype B, and 12 isolates of serotype C (42 of *C. gattii*).

series evaluating species-specific MICs that reported no differences among serotypes (2, 11, 19). Other studies have described serotype-specific differences in antifungal potency (1, 3, 5, 10, 18, 21), raising concerns that infection with *C. gattii* may be met with a slower response to therapy (11), yet no consistent or predictable differences in antifungal activity were reported.

In this study, posaconazole, voriconazole, and the new triazole, isavuconazole, demonstrated excellent in vitro activity against all *Cryptococcus* serotypes tested, including isolates with reduced fluconazole susceptibility. This suggests extended-spectrum triazoles may be alternative treatments when similar isolates are encountered in clinical practice. However, clinical data are needed to confirm these results.

This study was funded by NIH contract no. NOI-A1-25475, and isavuconazole was kindly provided by Basilea Pharmaceutica Ltd.

G.R.T., A.W.F., A.C.V., and B.L.W. report no conflicts of interest. N.P.W. has received research support from Pfizer and Schering-Plough. T.F.P. has received research support from Merck, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, and Nektar Therapeutics and has served on the speaker's bureau for Merck and Pfizer and as a consultant for Basilea, Merck, Nektar, Pfizer, and Toyama.

REFERENCES

1. Brummer, E., K. Kamei, and M. Miyaji. 1998. Damage to yeast cells of *Cryptococcus neoformans* by voriconazole and fluconazole: a culture and microscopic study. *Med. Mycol.* **36**:227–233.
2. Chen, S., T. Sorrell, G. Nimmo, B. Speed, B. Currie, D. Ellis, D. Marriott, T. Pfeiffer, D. Parr, and K. Byth, and Australasian Cryptococcal Study Group. 2000. Epidemiology and host- and variety-dependent characteristics of infection due to *Cryptococcus neoformans* in Australia and New Zealand. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **31**:499–508.
3. Chen, Y. C., S. C. Chang, C. C. Shih, C. C. Hung, K. T. Luhbd, Y. S. Pan, and W. C. Hsieh. 2000. Clinical features and in vitro susceptibilities of two varieties of *Cryptococcus neoformans* in Taiwan. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **36**:175–183.
4. Ito-Kuwa, S., K. Nakamura, S. Aoki, and V. Vidotto. 2007. Serotype identification of *Cryptococcus neoformans* by multiplex PCR. *Mycoses* **50**:277–281.
5. Khan, Z. U., H. S. Randhawa, T. Kowshik, A. Chowdhary, and R. Chandy. 2007. Antifungal susceptibility of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* isolates from decayed wood of trunk hollows of *Ficus religiosa* and *Syzygium cumini* trees in north-western India. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **60**:312–316.
6. Kidd, S. E., F. Hagen, R. L. Tschärke, M. Huynh, K. H. Bartlett, M. Fyfe, L. Macdougall, T. Boekhout, K. J. Kwon-Chung, and W. Meyer. 2004. A rare genotype of *Cryptococcus gattii* caused the cryptococcosis outbreak on Vancouver Island (British Columbia, Canada). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **101**:17258–17263.
7. Kwon-Chung, K. J., and J. E. Bennett. 1984. Epidemiologic differences between the two varieties of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **120**:123–130.
8. Kwon-Chung, K. J., I. Polacheck, and J. E. Bennett. 1982. Improved diagnostic medium for separation of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *neoformans* (serotypes A and D) and *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *gattii* (serotypes B and C). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **15**:535–537.
9. Kwon-Chung, K. J., T. Boekhout, J. W. Fell, and M. Diaz. 2002. Proposal to conserve the name *Cryptococcus gattii* against *C. honduricus* and *C. bacilliformis* (Basidiomycota, Hymenomycetes, Tremellomycetidae). *Taxon* **51**:804–806.
10. Moraes, E. M., N. S. Primola, and J. S. Hamdan. 2003. Antifungal susceptibility of clinical and environmental isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans* to four antifungal drugs determined by two techniques. *Mycoses* **46**:164–168.
11. Morgan, J., K. M. McCarthy, S. Gould, K. Fan, B. Arthington-Skaggs, N. Iqbal, K. Stamey, R. A. Hajjeh, and M. E. Brandt. 2006. *Cryptococcus gattii* infection: characteristics and epidemiology of cases identified in a South African province with high HIV seroprevalence, 2002–2004. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **43**:1077–1080.
12. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1997. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts. Approved standard M27-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA.
13. Pfaller, M. A., S. A. Messer, L. Boyken, C. Rice, S. Tendolkar, R. J. Hollis, G. V. Doern, and D. J. Diekema. 2005. Global trends in the antifungal susceptibility of *Cryptococcus neoformans* (1990 to 2004). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **43**:2163–2167.
14. Saag, M. S., R. J. Graybill, R. A. Larsen, P. G. Pappas, J. R. Perfect, W. G. Powderly, J. D. Sobel, and W. E. Dismukes, and Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2000. Practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **30**:710–718.
15. Schwarz, P., G. Janbon, F. Dromer, O. Lortholary, and E. Dannaoui. 2007. Combination of amphotericin B with flucytosine is active in vitro against flucytosine-resistant isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **51**:383–385.
16. Seifert, H., U. Aurbach, D. Stefanik, and O. Cornely. 2007. In vitro activities of isavuconazole and other antifungal agents against *Candida* bloodstream isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **51**:1818–1821.
17. Sorrell, T. C. 2001. *Cryptococcus neoformans* variety *gattii*. *Med. Mycol.* **39**:155–168.
18. Speed, B., and D. Dunt. 1995. Clinical and host differences between infections with the two varieties of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **21**:28–34.
19. Tay, S. T., T. Tanty Haryanty, K. P. Ng, M. Y. Rohani, and H. Hamimah. 2006. In vitro susceptibilities of Malaysian clinical isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* and *Cryptococcus gattii* to five antifungal drugs. *Mycoses* **49**:324–330.
20. Reference deleted.
21. Trilles, L., B. Fernandez-Torres, S. Lazera Mdos, B. Wanke, and J. Guarro. 2004. In vitro antifungal susceptibility of *Cryptococcus gattii*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **42**:4815–4817.